

**MINUTES**  
**Spartanburg County**  
**Legislative Delegation**  
**Monday, February 6, 2006**  
**5:00 p.m.**

A public meeting of the Spartanburg County Legislative Delegation was held on Monday, February 6, 2006 at 5:00 p.m. in County Council Chambers of the Spartanburg County Administrative Office Building. Representative Doug Smith presided. The following members were present or absent as indicated:

Senator Glenn Reese – Present  
Senator John Hawkins – Absent  
Senator Jim Ritchie – Absent  
Senator Harvey Peeler – Absent  
Representative Harold Mitchell – Present  
Representative Doug Smith – Present  
Representative Lanny Littlejohn – Present  
Representative Scott Talley – Present  
Representative Phil Sinclair – Present  
Representative Joe Mahaffey – Present  
Representative Ralph Davenport – Absent  
Representative Bob Walker – Present  
Representative Mike Anthony – Present

In compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, notices of this meeting were mailed in advance to the local news media and other interested parties.

Invocation was rendered, and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Representative Harold Mitchell.

### **1. APPROVAL OF NOVEMBER 14, 2005 MEETING MINUTES**

Representative Phil Sinclair moved to approve the November 14, 2005 meeting minutes as printed. Representative Joe Mahaffey seconded the motion. The motion carried.

### **2. FUNDING FOR SWAY MUSIC ACADEMY – MR. STEVE WATKINS, CEO/PRESIDENT, SWAY MUSIC GROUP CORPORATION**

Mr. Steve Watkins did not appear to present.

### **3. FIRE SERVICE TASK FORCE UPDATE – MR. DAVID JONES**

There are twenty people that have make up the Task Force to include the following: Luther Black, Commissioner, Hilltop Fire District; Larry Brady, Chief, North Spartanburg Fire District; Jamie Caggiano, Chief, Cowpens Fire Service Area; Philip Caruso, Chief, Spartanburg Fire Department; Ken Crenshaw, Commissioner, Una Fire Service Area; Dale Culbreth, Commissioner, Inman Community fire Service Area; Bill Hall, Fire Marshall, Spartanburg County; Brian Harvey, Chief, Roebuck Fire District; Lewis Hayes, Chief, Croft Fire District; Phil Jolley, Chief, Pelham-Batesville Fire District; James Mabry, Commissioner, Converse Fire District; Earl Melton, Commissioner, Arkwright Fire District; Ron Nordenbrock, Chief, Poplar Springs Fire Service Area; Leroy Searcey, Chief, Boiling Springs Fire District; Mitchell Shook, Commissioner, Drayton Fire District; Evans Starnes, Commissioner, Cross Anchor Fire Service Area; Frank Vaughn, Reidville Fire District; Mike West, Commissioner, Cooley Springs-Fingerville Fire Service Area; Dale Worthy, Chief, Pacolet Fire District; and, David Jones, Chair and Facilitator.

Mr. Jones indicated this is not a final report; however, this Task Force is a work-in- progress. The final recommendation could be different from the report given today. When the Task Force was formed, the committee agreed to several ground rules. First, the committee agreed to leave their logos at the door and they understood that they would be representing the citizens trying to improve the fire service, not representing any one particular fire service area. Secondly, nothing is off the table. No recommendation was too far out. No recommendation was too controversial for a discussion. All agreed to these and other ground rules and they have abided by them.

After the original brainstorming, the committee focused on seven areas remembering the charge of the task force which was to conduct a comprehensive review of the county's fire service that would result in recommendations for improvement that would focus on accountability, efficiency, and governance. Considering that charge, the committee focused on seven particular items: audit requirements; chief officer minimum requirements; commission by-laws guidelines; coordination and collaboration; funding models; a governance review board; and a section entitled

major expenditures.

Based upon the size of a fire department, specific recommendations were made regarding audits.

**Chief Officers minimum requirements:** The committee believes there should be a successful completion of a criminal background check; ten years of service in the fire service; five years of management experience; a college degree or any equivalent of work experience, education, and training as determined by the respective fire board/commission; a valid South Carolina Class E Driver's license; successful completion of a course through the National Incident Management System; Fire Officer I training or any equivalent of work, education, and training (as determined by the respective fire board/commission); fifty hours of leadership courses; and fifty hours of management course work.

**Commission/Board By-Laws Guidelines:** The committee is conducting a review to make recommendations for the operations of all the various fire commissions and boards throughout the county more uniform. This will be presented in the final report as an attachment that will be a recommended set of By-Laws. The committee focused on many things that will try to bring them more uniform. Probably, the only thing that is not in the By-Laws as looked at is in reference to a nepotism policy. A nepotism policy will be recommended.

**Coordination and Collaboration:** This segment was entitled so the committee could try to avoid the negative perception of consolidation. The committee does not believe they are charged to make any specific recommendations for any targeted consolidation. However, the committee tried to examine all aspects of consolidation – the positive and the negative – what has worked throughout the country and state and what has not worked; and identify those advantages and disadvantages. The committee tried to focus on the positive incentives as well as long-term perspective. There will be some short-term recommendations as well. The committee wants to focus on areas that the county's fire service is already doing to cooperate and collaborate with one another. There are many different examples of that. For example, the City of Spartanburg and Croft Fire District, Pelham-Batesville Fire District and the City of Greer are just one example. A long-term recommendation that is going to come from this is that all forms of cooperation and collaboration and consolidation should be reviewed for applicability within the county. Such review should be objective, open minded and without parochial biases. Individual jurisdictional perspectives should not outweigh the greater good of providing quality fire protection and rescue services in all areas of the county.

**Funding Models:** This is an area that extensive research has been conducted. As of now, a full consensus has not been achieved.

**Major Expenditures:** The purchase of fire apparatus and fire facilities is one of the most often mentioned concern and criticisms of the fire service from state and local government officials. In addition, the committee sites several standards that exist and make the following recommendation: Procedures should be enacted to have these expenditures properly planned within an over-arching and comprehensive strategic plan that should be budgeted and approved by a county-wide oversight entity.

**Governance Review Board:** Upon the creation of this task force, it quickly became apparent to the committee that a major action item was the development of strategy to increase the

accountability and credibility of the county's fire service. After considerable discussion, consensus is being achieved in the belief that a county-wide oversight board could provide great strength in achieving this goal of accountability. A diverse oversight board with members from a variety of backgrounds of fire service experiences could give strategic issues discussed in the summary report the careful study and attention they deserve on an ongoing and long-term basis.

The committee sees a weakness in the current method of governance of the fire service in that there is no one county-wide governance board that has the responsibility for strategic direction and fiscal accountability.

The individual boards and/or commissions are rightfully concerned only with their own jurisdictions and don't necessarily look at strategic issues from a county-wide perspective.

The objective of this county-wide governance board would be to provide strategic and fiscal oversight of the county's fire service. We respect the continued need for the individual boards and/or commissions, but emphasize the need for a county-wide governance board that is assigned the responsibility for oversight of strategic direction and fiscal accountability. Fiscal authority is defined as budget authority; it is not envisioned that this governance board would have appropriation authority.

While the membership of this Board remains under discussion, it is believed that potential board members should be respected and trusted community leaders experienced in dealing with complex community issues. It is absolutely imperative that this Board be able to gain the attention and trust of business and government leaders, as well as members of the fire service.

The duties and responsibilities of this board could be as follows:

- The Board should coordinate the efforts of all concerned agencies, organizations, and officials to implement the County Fire Master Plan and to meet county-wide operational guidelines (once so determined).
- The Board should be a guidance, review, and oversight entity with fiscal authority.
- The Board should review and consider for approval all fire department budgets and annual reports.
- The Board should establish guidelines for said budget approval or modification.
- The Board should consider guidelines as to matters of non-compliance with its directives. As previously stated, it is believed that the Board should have budget approval authority. Further, it is strongly believed that the Board should have the sufficient authority to enforce its directives.
- The Board should assist the fire departments in the development of long range capital budgets to establish effective and efficient replacement schedules for equipment and facilities.
- The Board should annually review and update minimum operating guidelines for fire departments.
- The Board should annually review and update the County Fire Master Plan.
- The Board should develop and administer a method of fair and equitable funding for county-wide fire service needs such as, but not limited to, annual physicals, SCBA fit tests, audits, prevention maintenance schedules, etc. Further, such funding should be

in place for countywide special response teams.

There are specific recommendations that may be in the final report:

- Immediately begin the necessary steps to form such a Fire Service Governance Review Board.
- Be prepared to make the long term commitment to this Board. Results will not come quickly; this board must be allowed sufficient time to achieve its objectives.
- Avoid the perception that this Board is just another layer of bureaucracy. This is certainly not the intent. The intent here is to form a single countywide governance review board through the delegation of such oversight responsibilities from County Council and the Legislative Delegation.
- The duties and responsibilities of the existing Fire Service Advisory Committee should be merged with this fire governance board.

Mr. Jones expects to submit the final report within 30 to 45 days. The committee will continue to work to honor the original charge for this task force by focusing on issues that can positively impact the county's fire service and increase its accountability, efficiency, and governance.

#### **4. SPARTANBURG YOUTH COUNCIL – MS. MOLLY-TALBOT METZ AND MS. LAURA C. BAUKNIGHT**

The Spartanburg Youth Council has been around in some shape or form since the early 1990's. The Mayor's Youth Council has changed their name to the Spartanburg Youth Council just last year as a way to be inclusive. The Spartanburg Youth Council is a city organization and is funded through a Safe and Drug Free community grant with the City of Spartanburg, but is countywide and felt like the name change was appropriate.

With the support and leadership of the Mayor of Spartanburg, the Youth Council has seen unprecedented growth this past year. Not just growth in numbers of members, but also growth in terms of passionate commitment to the issues around the young people. Currently, there are 147 members of the Spartanburg Youth Council. Those members represent all facets of the community – government officials, school officials, state and business leaders, community representatives, and youth serving organizations. This brings a lot of diversity, energy and excitement.

Molly Talbot-Metz with the Mary Black Foundation states that the Mary Black Foundation's role with the Spartanburg Youth Council is really two fold. The Council provides information about the many youth serving organizations in Spartanburg while offering the foundation to increase public awareness about the important issues. During Youth Council meetings, Molly is able to learn about the variety of programs that are going on in Spartanburg that are available to youth enabling her to network with the people that know first hand to accent the needs of our youth in Spartanburg County. The Youth Councils offer ways to the Foundation to achieve its mission of importing the health and wellness of Spartanburg County through the early childhood development subcommittee

meetings. Organizations interested in young children and their families are able to come together and talk about ways to partner, ways to expand programs, and reduce duplication of services. The Youth Council is proving to be a tremendous asset to the Mary Black Foundation and to Spartanburg County as a whole.

The real purpose of the Youth Council is to help facilitate, coordinate, and communicate among youth serving organizations, while raising awareness about youth issues in Spartanburg. This is being accomplished by credibly recalling the agenda for Spartanburg youth. All subcommittees are meeting regularly addressing issues of alcohol and drugs, early childhood, cross training opportunities among the organizations just to do the Youth Council's job better.

The Youth Council is looking at changing policy. In March, the Youth Council is bringing a group here from Minnesota. This group does the 40 developmental assets for youth. They argue, based on research that young people which have these particular 40 assets are more likely to contribute to society. This will be an exciting opportunity to the community.

Some of the agenda items have already been accomplished through the Martin Luther King, Jr. celebration. The Youth Council is also working on business partnerships. There are two business people approaching other business people to ask how they can partner with the Youth Council – perhaps by adopting a school, or adopting parks, or working with after-school programs.

The Youth Council is planning a huge Youth Leadership Summit that will take place this summer, hopefully at the Hanger, which will bring in well known speakers and do training for young people.

There is also a very diverse group of young people called Youth Voices that actually speaks regularly before the Youth Council. Youth Voices are also doing exciting things, giving young people a vehicle through which they can talk and be heard.

There will also be a media campaign to highlight the Community Commitment to Youth.

## **5. COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN – MS. KATHY DUNLEAVY, UNITED WAY OF THE PIEDMONT AND JOHN DARGAN, SPARTANBURG COUNTY FOUNDATION**

About a year ago, a special report of the Community Indicators was published. This was a two year process that helped hundreds of individuals come together to look at the quality of life issues with the main category of people, family, community and place. There were ten goals and sixty indicators to put in summary. This effort has come to a new milestone. Mr. Dargan shared that no other community in South Carolina has taken the community indicator process and studied the quality of life issues to the extent that they have. It was also pointed out that they know clearly there are very few communities in the country where your own United Way and your community foundation are partnering together to address the quality of life issues. This is a process that will continue to move as the benchmarks are moved forward.

The community improvement plan is a working document along with the community indicator booklet. Just as the community indicators presents the look of Spartanburg as it is today,

the community improvement plan shows what Spartanburg could look like. Each of the ten task forces worked together over all of 2005. The ten goals were introduced in the community indicators and identified the priorities and listed opportunities to improve the indicators. As an example, in goal three which focused on the elderly, states that our elderly citizens will receive support to enable them to live as independently as possible in their homes and connected to their communities. A priority was identified that said there was a need to provide affordable and accessible senior friendly transportation systems. The opportunity would be to build on the existing transportation systems and services and find a creative means of addressing the distinctive transportation limitations and needs of seniors. The task forces developed these ideas over the last ten month. Each of the goals in the community improvement plan identified suggestions that can positively improve the indicators.

A progress oversight group has been established consisting of a strategic representation of participants in the process who will facilitate, record, and encourage the various community initiatives outlined in the document. Dr. Jim Ray, superintendent from district three schools, will be the champion of this next phase and he will serve as our next chair of this progress oversight group. Thanks to Spartanburg County, a web base communications manager will track and monitor all the progress over the next few years. The website will be called Strategic Spartanburg.org. It is currently under construction.

The booklet is only a fraction of the data that has been accumulated. There are hundreds of pages involved. All of the data will be on the website. These are only some of the priorities and opportunities that were listed by the task forces.

Also thanks to Spartanburg County, a part-time project manager will oversee all organizations and initiatives. Some of the things being done are very unique. Neither document is perfect. Lessons have been learned through this and will continue to learn lessons. Some positive and powerful observances have happened throughout this two year process. Personal agendas are checked at the door for the greater good of Spartanburg. Hundreds of people are working on this and are continuing to work to make it truly a community effort.

## **6. CONCERNS ABOUT THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS, PUBLIC SCHOOL EDUCATORS, AND RETIREES FROM THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS – MS. JANET AGNEW**

The South Carolina Education Association – Retired has a membership of educational personnel, teacher’s aids, cafeteria workers, and all of the other school staff that have retired from South Carolina public schools. The ages are varied.

All of the South Carolina Education Association – Retired membership is concerned about two areas – retirement benefits and educational financing. Under retirement benefits, the retirees of South Carolina both state employees and the educational retirees would like to see a guaranteed cost of living increased to reflect the actual cost of living increases.

The South Carolina Education Association members would like to see the health, dental and prescription drug program improved and restored to the level of the premiums and benefits of 2000

or the current premiums and benefits remain the same as last year.

The South Carolina Education Association's members have a vested interest as teachers and public school employees in the children of South Carolina. Many years have been spent teaching and mentoring the children of the South Carolina public schools. The members are concerned about the Educational Finance Act of 1977 that provides funding to local school districts. Funding of public school education is important to the welfare of our state through jobs and training of the students.

The South Carolina Education Association – Retired gives four \$100 scholarships each year to students who plans on teaching. Scholarship winners plan to be a teacher and attend a South Carolina college or university.

Concerns for the continuing improvement of our public school system is the main reason that the members of the South Carolina Education Association – Retired want public money to support only public schools. Some state legislators are talking about refunding money to individuals from unexpected revenue in the general funds. The South Carolina Education Association – Retired would like state legislators to study the South Carolina tax structure so that the tax structure may be more equal.

At the last meeting of the Association, the volunteer lobby team visited the capital. Many of the retired members expressed concerns about the proposed increase in the state sales tax. They are concerned about the retirees and the lower income people who will be greatly affected by the proposed increase in sales tax. Ms. Agnew brought to mind that the first state sales tax was called the Jimmy Burns sales tax. Two cents for education and one cent for the state! The next two cents began as a tax that was to go to education. Members are concerned that the two cents of the proposed tax for property tax relief will not be used for that purpose over the span of years. We are also concerned about how this tax will affect the seniors of all groups in the state.

## **7. POINTS OF INTEREST FROM IN-DEPTH STUDY ON THE PROTECTION OF PERSONS WHO ARE PHYSICALLY CHALLENGED - MS. CAROLYN BEARDON BROWN**

Carolyn Bearden Brown is the legal guardian/parent of Peter Brown, who is served by the South Carolina Department of Disabilities and Special Needs (DDSN) through the Charles Lea Center. Ms. Brown is concerned about the current service delivery system for people with disabilities in the state, most of whom are not empowered to advocate for themselves and many of whose families have been intimidated by the providers.

Phil Clarkson of Cowpens, board member of Protection and Advocacy for people with disabilities shares Ms. Brown's concern. Both urge the delegation to support Senate Bill 1116 introduced by Senators McConnell, Lorie, Ford and the companion Bill to be introduced in the South Carolina House to create an Investigative Unit at SLED trained to assure adequate professional investigations of alleged abuse, neglect, and exploitation of South Carolina disabled citizens; evidence of which is often removed or whitewashed.

Ms. Brown and Mr. Clarkson distributed a cover letter for the seventy-five pages, three year Protection and Advocacy study that substantiates legitimate accurate abuse and neglect of DDSN funded South Carolina citizens with disabilities. The South Carolina Sheriff's Association supports this request.

The cover letter refers to the August 2005 death of Charles Lea client Elston Mills. The investigative report raises serious legitimate concerns about the several critical delays in direct care staff contacting medical personnel, as well as to why Charles Lea Center's administrative supervisory staff did not provide the second direct care staff person on duty when a Charles Lea client is placed on a fifteen minute watch according to Charles Lea policy. Ms. Brown urges the delegation to amend the Omnibus Adult Protection Act so that investigations of alleged abuse, neglect, and exploitation are investigated by trained SLED personnel. A private non-profit organization with a self-appointed Board, such as the Charles Lea Center, is accountable to no one!

In December 2005 Ms. Brown attended the South Carolina Adult Protection and Coordinating Council subcommittee meeting consisting of several state agencies, including South Carolina DDSN. The subcommittee later voted unanimously to support the Protection and Advocacy report recommendation that trained SLED personnel investigate alleged abuse, neglect, and exploitation of South Carolina disabled citizens. SLED Chief Robert Stewart has requested the South Carolina House Ways and Means subcommittee for Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice to fund additional investigators trained for protection of South Carolina disabled citizens. Governor Sanford has also requested in his budget additional funding for the same.

In addition, Ms. Brown respectfully asked the delegation to join with Richland County Representative James Smith, who has requested an audit of DDSN by the South Carolina Legislative Audit Council. A large part of the problem for the extent of neglect, abuse and exploitation of South Carolina disabled citizens as documented by the Protection and Advocacy study is that a major part of the appropriated money identified with Peter Brown's name and social security number is not spent on direct care services for Peter Brown. These dollars have been recorded and spent through agencies from 1999 through 2005.

Ms. Brown asked the delegation for their active support for Senate Bill 1116 and the companion House Bill, the request for an audit by the Legislative Audit Council of DDSN and equally important changes to insure more dollars are spent for direct care for services.

## **8. OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF/LIFELINE PROGRAM – MS. BRENDA LEE**

Ms. Brenda Lee decided to do something differently in the field, flesh to flesh with delegation constituents throughout South Carolina as well as Spartanburg partnering with different organizations – church groups, United Way, Department of Social Services, and state agencies to help get the word out about the telephone lifeline program. Ms. Lee works seven days a week and carries applications with her to sign people up and send the applications on to BellSouth or their

current local telephone provider to grant a \$13.50 credit a month on a residential landline telephone bill to people who qualify. The qualifications are not that rigid. Only about 20,000 people in South Carolina who has signed up, and the Lifeline Program has the ability to sign up over 150,000 people if they are aware of the program. The application process takes about 30 days for the credit to be given on the telephone bill. The lifeline program provides 50 percent reduction of the installation and service charges up to \$30 to qualifying residential customers.

## **9. PROPERTY TAX REFORM AFFECTS ON CITY OF WOODRUFF – MAYOR PAUL BELL**

Mayor Paul Bell has submitted a list of questions that the City of Woodruff has concerns about because the budget process will be under way in the near future. But, Sunday, January 29, the State newspaper proceeded to answer all the questions ask.

However, Mayor Bell requested that when the time comes for the state constitution to be changed regarding property tax relief, Mayor Bell would like to see some parameters put in place so that when the citizens vote, it is explained exactly what is expected from the legislature.

Mayor Bell and the City of Woodruff think that the city is headed into the right direction. They are proud of where the city is and where they are going. The City of Woodruff is expecting the state to take the first steps in growing Woodruff. There have been several contacts that is hoped will come around. Beyond that, the City of Woodruff need parks, walking trails and funds for city hall that Woodruff is trying to get on the historical register.

## **COMITTEE REPORTS**

### **10. PRESENTATIVE BOB WALKER, COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (CTC)**

Representative Walker announced that Mr. Hugh Atkins will serve as the 4<sup>th</sup> Congressional District Highway Commissioner for the Greenville/Spartanburg area for a four year term.

### **11. PRESENTATIVE SCOTT TALLEY, PARD**

#### **a) Spartanburg County – Brookwood Park Trail in Landrum**

The PARD committee has decided to carry over this item until the next meeting.

**b) Miracle League Park Funds**

Funds have been committed to the Miracle League Park last year. During the year, there has been a shift. The Miracle League Park committee was been headed toward building a new park out in the Reidville area. A couple of things happened that caused the committee to rethink building the park in the Reidville area. One was that the City of Greenville has since built a Miracle League field in Mauldin, and one of the ideas to build in the Reidville area was to attract people from Greenville, and, it was thought to benefit the children that would be using the field if it were located in a place where other children would be playing ball and not so isolated. As a result of that, back in the fall, the Miracle League Park committee started discussions with both the County and the City of Spartanburg about possibly moving the field perhaps to an already established field. Now the committee is in the process of discussions with the City of Spartanburg about a possible location at Duncan Park. There is a feasibility study being completed. It is understood that the funds will need to be used by May 31. The thrust is to build a Miracle League at Duncan Park. It has been discussed to go ahead and purchase the surface using PARD funds so that the funds will not expire.

**12. SENATOR JIM RITCHIE, SPARTANBURG AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE**

Senator Ritchie was absent, but sent a report from the Spartanburg Area Chamber of Commerce.

**13. REPRESENTATIVE HAROLD MITCHELL, SPARTANBURG CHILDREN'S SHELTER**

No report.

**14. REPRESENTATIVE LANNY LITTLEJOHN, COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (COG)**

Representative Littlejohn did not have a report; however, a COG quarterly report of the services granted to Spartanburg County municipalities has been received.

## APPOINTMENTS

### **15. GLENDALE AREA FIRE DISTRICT COMMISSION**

Term of Myron H. Patterson. Application received: Joe N. Elmore

Representative Littlejohn moved to nominate Joe N. Elmore. Representative Sinclair seconded the motion. The motion carried.

### **16. ARKWRIGHT AREA FIRE DISTRICT COMMISSION**

Term of Ronya Jones. Application received: Carole L. Davis

Representative Mitchell requested to carry this appointment over until the next meeting.

### **17. LIBERTY-CHESNEE-FINGERVILLE WATER DISTRICT COMMISSION**

Term of J. W. McCraw, Jr. Application received: Joe W. McCraw, Jr.

Representative Walker made a motion to nominate J. W. McCraw, Jr. Senator Reese seconded the motion. The motion carried.

### **18. ELECTION COMMISSION**

Terms of Melba Blakely, Barbara Strickler and Joe Hines. Applications received: Barbara Strickler, Joe Hines, Melba Blakely, R. Earl Melton, and Janet Burnett Agnew.

Representative Walker moved to nominate Barbara Strickler. Representative Sinclair moved to nominate Joe Hines. Representative Mitchell moved to nominate Melba Blakely. Senator Reese moved to nominate Janet Agnew. There were no other nominations. Votes were taken. Barbara Strickler received 55.981 percent of the total weighted vote; Joe Hines received 38.088 percent of the total weighted vote; Melba Blakely received 55.891 percent of the total weighted vote; and Janet Agnew received 17.893 percent of the total weighted vote. Therefore the three incumbents will be recommended to the Governor's office for re-appointment to the Election Commission.

**19. SPARTANBURG COUNTY REGISTRATION BOARD (SENATORS ONLY)**

Terms of Dodie Graham, Robert G. Hamilton, and F. Don Watson. Applications received: Eudora (Dodie) Graham, F. Don Watson, Robert G. Hamilton, and Gloria Condrey Seay.

Senator Reese moved to nominate Dodie Graham, Don Watson, and Robert Hamilton. There were no other senators present, however, Senator Hawkins sent word that he would endorse the three incumbents as nominated. The motion was seconded and approved.

**20. STARTEX-JACKSON-WELLFORD-DUNCAN WATER DISTRICT COMMISSION**

Term of Sanford Carlton. Applications received: Sanford Carlton and Roger Nutt.

Mr. Roger Nutt notified the Delegation office via telephone on February 6, 2006, to remove his application for consideration of the appointment.

Representative Sinclair moved to nominate Sanford Carlton for re-appointment. Representative Mahaffey seconded the motion. The motion carried.

**21. SPARTANBURG AREA MENTAL HEALTH BOARD**

Terms of Jennie Montgomery, George Wideman, Robert Hendrix, Hal Warlick, Jr. and John L. Brady. Applications received: Jennie S. Montgomery, George Wideman, Robert K. Hendrix, Hal G. Warlick, Jr., John L. Brady, and Dr. Ann Kelly.

Representative Walker moved to nominate Mr. John Brady. Representative Smith moved to nominate Ms. Montgomery, Mr. Warlick, Mr. Wideman, and Mr. Hendrix. There were no other nominations. The motion was seconded. The motion carried.

**22. PACOLET STATION FIRE DISTRICT COMMISSION**

Terms of Carroll Rhinehart and Dale R. Campbell. Applications received: David Belton Free III, and Dale R. Campbell.

At the request of Representative Littlejohn, Representative Walker moved to carry this appointment over until the next scheduled Delegation meeting. The motion carried.

**23. INMAN CAMPOBELLO WATER DISTRICT COMMISSION**

Term of Carroll C. Campbell. Applications received: Jeff Bailey and Carroll C. Campbell.

Representative Walker moved to nominate Carroll C. Campbell for re-appointment. The motion was seconded and carried.

**WITH NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING ADJOURNED.**

---

Doug Smith, Delegation Chairman

---

Attested:  
Carol Crowe