

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

Eleanor S. Dooly and G. Thomas Dooly

DEFENDANTS.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO. 2010-CP-42-1610

MASTER IN EQUITY'S  
ORDER AND JUDGMENT  
OF FORECLOSURE AND SALE

DEFICIENCY WAIVED

Non-Eligible under the Home Affordable  
Modification Program

NOTICE: The original of this document was  
filed in  
the office of the Clerk of Court for Spartanburg  
County:

File Number 090268.01225

TO: Ronald C. Scott, SC Bar #4996  
Elizabeth R. Polk, SC Bar #11673  
Brett F. Kline, SC Bar #15661  
Angelia J. Grant, SC Bar #78334  
Priti M. Patel, SC Bar #79835  
Kevin T. Hardy, SC Bar #76015  
Erica G. Lybrand, SC Bar #79052  
ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF  
2712 Middleburg Drive, Suite 200  
Columbia, SC 29204  
(803) 252-3340

Eleanor S. Dooly  
690 Zion Hill Rd.  
Spartanburg, SC 29307

Eleanor Dooly  
P.O. Box 3326  
Spartanburg, SC 29304

G. Thomas Dooly  
690 Zion Hill Rd.  
Spartanburg, SC 29307

G. Thomas Dooly  
P.O. Box 3326  
Spartanburg, SC 29304

Pursuant to Circuit Court Rule 53(b) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, the above-entitled matter was referred to the undersigned to make appropriate findings of facts and conclusions of

law with authority to enter a final judgment in the cause.

Pursuant to the said Order of Reference a hearing was held attended by the attorneys of record. A Record of Hearing was presented, which is herewith reported, and from the Record of Hearing and the documents and records received into evidence, I find, conclude and order as follows:

FINDINGS

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties hereto and it is the proper forum for the litigation of this matter.

1. The Court has determined that the Plaintiff has complied with the Administrative Order of the Supreme Court dated May 2, 2011 (2011-05-02-01).

2. Based upon the facts and/or evidence presented, the Court has determined that this loan is not eligible under the Home Affordable Modification Program.

3. The Lis Pendens was filed on March 23, 2010.

4. The Summons and Complaint were filed on March 23, 2010.

5. Service was made upon the Defendants named in this Report as is shown by the proofs of service filed herein.

6. The Defendant(s), Eleanor S. Dooly, is in default as is shown by the affidavit filed herein.

7. The Defendants and/or all attorneys of record were notified of the time, date, and place of the hearing of this matter.

8. According to the affidavit filed herein, any Defendant in default is not in the Military Service of the United States of America, as contemplated under The Servicemembers' Civil Relief Act of 2003 and any amendments thereto.

9. For value received, Defendant(s), Eleanor S. Dooly, made, executed and delivered a Note dated April 30, 2002, promising thereby to pay to the order of Wachovia Mortgage Corporation the sum of \$85,000.00 with interest at the rate of 7.5000% per annum. Other terms and conditions are stated in the Note, which is of record herein.

10. To better secure the payment of the Note described above, the said Defendant(s), Eleanor S. Dooly and G. Thomas Dooly, made, executed and delivered to Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Wachovia Mortgage Corporation a Mortgage in writing, dated April 30, 2002, covering real property in Spartanburg County, which is the same as that described in the Complaint. The Mortgage was filed on May 1, 2002, and is of record in the Office of the Clerk of Court for Spartanburg County in Book 2696, at Page 714. The Mortgage evidences and secures the repayment of

money advanced by the mortgagee to, or on behalf of, the mortgagor(s). The Court finds also that this mortgage constitutes a first lien on the mortgaged premises and a purchase money lien as may apply under state law as well as the After Acquired Property Doctrine. Subsequently, the Note and Mortgage were assigned to Chase Home Finance LLC by assignment instrument dated March 22, 2010 and recorded April 7, 2010 in Book 4337 at Page 182. Thereafter, Chase Home Finance LLC merged with JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association with JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association being the surviving entity.

11. That the Court finds that the Plaintiff and/or its counsel has complied with its obligation(s) as required under the specific terms of the Note and Mortgage being foreclosed as well as any applicable Federal or State statutes or regulations including but not limited to, the furnishing of any notices required to be given to the obligor(s) which gives to such person(s) the right to cure any default arising under the specific terms of the recited Note and Mortgage herein; the review of this mortgage loan for compliance with the Home Affordability Modification Program (HMP), if applicable; and that moreover and prior to the filing of this judicial proceeding, the Defendant(s) had not raised any compliance defense or objections as to the servicing of any applicable banking or consumer laws by the Plaintiff and/or its counsel.

12. Payment due on the note has not been made as provided for in the note, and the Plaintiff, as the holder thereof, has elected to require immediate payment of the entire amount due thereon and has placed the note and mortgage in the hands of the attorney herein for collection.

13. With respect to attorney fees and in view of the potential financial liabilities and likely continuing professional obligations inherent in judicially prosecuting a real property mortgage credit matter, the attendant professional duties and responsibilities, and the size of the mortgage debt and consistent with similar case proceedings before this Honorable Court, I find that a reasonable attorney's fee in this matter would be \$1,450.00. This award is consistent with the laws of this state in the awarding of attorney fees. I have considered the six (6) factors (none of these factors is controlling in the singular) as follows: (1) the nature, extent and difficulty of the legal service rendered; (2) the time and labor necessarily devoted to the case; (3) the professional standing of counsel; (4) the contingency of compensation; (5) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services; and (6) the beneficial results obtained. Taylor v. Medenica, 331 S.C. 575, 503 S.E.2d 458 (1998); Baron Data Systems v. Loter, 297 S.C. 382, 377 S.E.2d 296 (S.C. 1989). This fee is also consistent with and pursuant to opinions and orders of this jurisdiction wherein our state's appellate courts have repeatedly held that any contract for attorney fees that may exist or exists between the lawyer and the client (in addition to not being one of the six (6) fee review factors) is specifically not binding on the trial court in its determination of the reasonableness of the attorney fee. Jackson v. Speed, 326 S.C. 289, 486 S.E.2d 750(1997); Rice v. Multimedia, Inc., 318 S.C. 95, 456 S.E.2d 381 (1995); and Williamson v. Middleton,

374 S.C. 419, 649 S.E.2d 57 (Ct. App. 2007). Plaintiff's Counsel has likewise reported to this Court that it is billing the Plaintiff a minimum of \$1,450.00 for all uncontested and routine matters plus costs as well as additional fees/costs for any services outside of the contemplated routine and uncomplicated services (relating to contested, complex, or difficult matters) for a case of this type. Total representation of the Plaintiff's interests in this matter have been undertaken (including among many duties the researching of the referral file to serving as custodian of the salient loan file documents to the filing of all pleadings and legally required documents with the court) by the Scott Law Firm, P.A. As to the Scott Law Firm, P.A. and its professional standing, it is noted that counsel for the Plaintiff is regionally and nationally recognized for its professional expertise in mortgage default credit matters as evidenced by its listing in BEST LAWYERS IN AMERICA™ and Martindale-Hubbell Registry of Pre-Eminent Lawyers™. This Court has specifically reviewed and satisfied itself with all six (6) factors to be considered in awarding reasonable attorneys fees in this matter.

14. On receiving testimony and other evidence during this hearing, I find that the Scott Law Firm, P.A., was engaged by the Plaintiff to foreclose the mortgage as expeditiously as possible, given the detriment to Plaintiff's equity position as a direct result of increasing losses from the accrual of interest and related adverse economic conditions such as depreciation or possible damage to the collateralized property. I find that Plaintiff's counsel has achieved a beneficial result with its prompt foreclosure of the subject mortgage.

Upon receipt of the case file, the title was examined and studied to identify all parties having or claiming an interest in the subject real estate as well as being researched for salient legal questions and issues. As to time and labor, I find that various attorneys as well as experienced paralegal staff have been responsible for (and expended extensive professional time of 10 hours as to the basic services) in the preparation of the following pleadings and other legally required services and documents:

1. Lis Pendens and any amendment thereto
2. Summons and Complaint and any amendment thereto
3. Affidavits and proposed Order of Publication
4. Affidavit of Default
5. Consent(s) to Order of Reference
6. Order of Reference
7. Notice of Hearing
8. Proposed Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale
9. Record of Hearing
10. Notice of Sale
11. Other documents as applicable pertaining to service and finalization of the action.

Counsel may also prepare for the Plaintiff the Statutory Foreclosure Deed and any other documents

necessary in this particular action as ordered or authorized by this Court. I find and note the nature, extent and difficulty of the services provided.

Although I have heretofore given consideration to all six (6) factors in the awarding of attorney fees and costs herein, jurisdiction over the fee award shall be reserved as granted in the Order of Reference with the right to re-visit the question of attorney fees should the action proceed in an unexpected way and/or to facilitate the assessment and payment of any such current or additional professional compensation.

15. The amount due and owing on the Note, with interest at the rate provided in the Note, including attorney's fee and allowable costs and charges allowable under and secured by the Note and Mortgage, is as follows:

(a)	Principal due	\$79,285.42
(b)	Interest Due from May 1, 2008 to January 4, 2012	\$21,868.49
(c)	Pre-Acceleration Late Charges	\$386.36
(d)	Escrow Adjustments (Itemized in Plaintiff's Disbursement Record)	\$11,566.23
(e)	Broker's Price Opinion/Appraisals	\$0.00
(f)	Property Preservation	\$71.00
(g)	Previous Bankruptcy Fees/Costs	\$0.00
(h)	Property Inspections	\$ 56.00
(i)	Suspense	\$0.00
(j)	Miscellaneous Charges/Credits (Itemized in Plaintiff's Disbursement Record)	\$0.00
(k)	Costs of collection prior to hearing	\$ 750.86
(l)	Attorney's fees and costs	
	<b>(Foreclosure &amp; applicable Bankruptcy)</b>	<b><u>\$1,450.00</u></b>
	<b>TOTAL DEBT secured by note and mortgage including interest to date shown</b>	<b><u>\$115,434.36</u></b>

Interest for the period from the date shown in (b) above through the date of this Judgment at the above stated rate to be added to the above stated "Total Debt": to comprise the amount of the judgment debt entered herein and interest after the date of judgment at the rate of 7.5000% per annum (pursuant to the terms of the Note and Mortgage) on the judgment debt should be added to such judgment debt to comprise the amount of Plaintiff's debt secured by the Mortgage through the date to which such interest is

computed. (Items (g), (k) and (l) are subject to revision by Plaintiff's counsel and/or modification by the Court.)

16. Based upon a search of the public records of the aforesaid county, all persons or entities having an interest or lien or possible claim in or upon the mortgaged premises subordinate to the lien of the Plaintiff as of the date and time of the filing of the Lis Pendens herein have been made defendants.

17. The Plaintiff is seeking the usual foreclosure of mortgage and has in the Complaint, or by amendment thereto, or by motion at the reference, expressly waived the right to a personal or deficiency judgment.

18. Any and all issues and claims raised by the Defendants have been dismissed by that Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Answer to Dismiss and to Dismiss Defendants' Counterclaims, filed on March 31, 2011. Any issues related to Plaintiff's standing or ability to prosecute this action are waived/dismissed.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I therefore, conclude as follows:

1. The Plaintiff has met the requirements of the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court (2011-05-02-01) issued by Chief Justice Jean H. Toal, dated May 2, 2011.

2. The Plaintiff has met the requirements of the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court (2009-05-22-01) issued by Chief Justice Jean H. Toal, dated May 22, 2009, and has shown that this loan is not eligible under the Home Affordable Modification Program.

3. The Plaintiff should have judgment of foreclosure of the mortgage and the mortgaged property should be ordered sold at public auction after due advertisement.

Now, on motion of Plaintiff's attorney,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. The Plaintiff has met the requirements of the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court (2011-05-02-01) issued by Chief Justice Jean H. Toal, dated May 2, 2011.

2. The Plaintiff has met the requirements of the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court (2009-05-22-01) issued by Chief Justice Jean H. Toal, dated May 22, 2009, and has shown that this loan is not eligible under the Home Affordable Modification Program.

3. This case is not subject to the stay provisions of the Order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina dated May 4, 2009, as amended and superceded by Order dated May 22, 2009.

4. That there is due to the Plaintiff on the obligation and mortgage set forth in the Complaint the sum of \$115,434.36, representing the total debt due Plaintiff as set forth supra, together with interest at the rate provided therein on the balance of principal from the date aforesaid to the date hereof.

5. The amount due in the preceding paragraph (the "total debt" as set forth in Paragraph hereinabove, and later accrued interest on the principal) shall constitute the total judgment debt due the Plaintiff and shall bear interest hereafter at the rate of 7.5000% percent per annum.

6. That the Defendants liable for the aforesaid Mortgage debt shall on or before the date of sale of the property hereinafter described, pay to the Plaintiff, or the Plaintiff's attorney the amount of Plaintiff's debt as aforesaid, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

7. That on default of payment at or before the time herein indicated, the mortgaged premises described in the Complaint, as hereinafter set forth, be sold by the undersigned at public auction, after giving Notice of the time and place of such sale by advertisement according to law. Any sales date is tentative and may be rescheduled at any time prior to the sale without further order of this court or written notice to the parties of the notice of sale, provided notice of the new sales date is duly advertised as required by law. The sale shall be according to the following terms, that is to say:

a. FOR CASH: The undersigned Master in Equity will require a deposit of 5% on the amount of the bid (in cash or equivalent), said 5% deposit being due and payable immediately upon the closing of the bidding, same to be applied to the purchase price only upon compliance with the bid, but in case of non-compliance within 20 days same to be forfeited and applied to the costs and Plaintiff's debt.

b. Interest on the balance of the bid shall be paid to the day of compliance at the rate of 7.5000% percent.

c. The sale shall be subject to taxes and assessments, existing easements and restrictions of record.

d. This mortgage constitutes a first lien covering the real estate and improvements therein described, including any mobile/manufactured home located thereon. Plaintiff would further allege and avail itself of the Purchase Money Mortgage Doctrine as may apply to the facts of this action.

e. Purchaser to pay for deed stamps and cost of recording the deed with the Plaintiff to pay the Statutory allowed fee of \$25.00 to the preparer of said deed (be it this Court or Counsel for Plaintiff).

8. If Plaintiff be the successful bidder at said sale, for a sum not exceeding the amount of costs, expenses and the indebtedness of Plaintiff in full, the Plaintiff may pay to the Clerk of Court only the amount of the costs and expenses crediting the balance of the bid on Plaintiff's indebtedness.

9. No personal or deficiency judgment being demanded, the bidding will not remain open after the date of sale, but compliance with the bid may be made immediately.

10. That the Master in Equity will by advertisement according to law, give notice of the time and place of such sale, and the terms thereof. Any sales date is tentative and may be rescheduled at any time prior to the sale without further order of this court or written notice to the parties of the notice of sale,

provided notice of the new sales date is duly advertised as required by law. Master in Equity will execute to the purchaser, or purchasers, a deed to the premises sold. The Plaintiff, or any other party to this

action may become a purchaser at such sale, and that if, upon such sale being made, the purchaser, or purchasers, should fail to comply with the terms thereof within 20 days after the date of sale, then the Master in Equity may advertise the said premises for sale on the next, or some other subsequent sales day, at the risk of the highest bidder, and so from time to time thereafter until a full compliance shall be secured. In the event the Plaintiff is the successful bidder, at its/his option, or the option of its/his assignee, the deed may be taken subject to payment by grantee of any taxes or assessments constituting a lien against the property sold under this order and hereinafter more fully described. In the event the successful bidder is a third party, neither the Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel make any warranties or representations as to the subject property on behalf of the third party bidder or any subsequent purchasers.

11. The sale will not be held unless the Plaintiff, its attorney, or its bidding agent is present at the sale or has advised the Master in Equity's office of its bidding instructions.

12. In the event of a third party bidder and that any third party bidder fails to deliver the required deposit in certified (immediately collectible) funds to the Office of the Master in Equity by close of bidding on the day of the sale, the Master in Equity will re-sell the subject property at the most convenient time thereafter (including the day of sale) upon notification to counsel for Plaintiff.

13. That the Master in Equity shall apply the proceeds of the sale as follows:

FIRST: To the payment of the amount of the costs and expense of this action, including any Guardian ad Litem fee or fees of attorneys appointed under Order of the Court;

NEXT: To the payment to the Plaintiff or Plaintiff's attorney, of the amount of Plaintiff's debt and interest or so much thereof as the purchase money will pay on the same; and Plaintiff's attorney shall receive and disburse such funds only in total and absolute compliance with the debt, interest, escrow, and related calculations of this Court including the Court's award for attorney fees and taxable costs;

NEXT: Any surplus will be held pending further order of the Court as provided for in the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and particularly Rule 71(c) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

14. It is further ORDERED, that if the successful bidder is other than the Defendant in possession herein, the Sheriff of Spartanburg County is ordered and directed to evict and remove from the premises the occupants of the property sold, together with all personal property located thereon, and put the successful bidder or his assigns in full, quiet and peaceable possession of said premises without delay, and to keep said successful bidder or his assigns in such peaceable possession.

15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the South Carolina

Department of Motor Vehicles is directed and ordered to provide the new Certificate of Title to the attorneys for the Plaintiff as agent for the grantee on the deed upon payment of the required title fees on any mobile/manufactured home which is herein located on the subject property and intended to be collateralized by the Plaintiff's security documents as heretofore received into evidence by this Court, or which may be received into evidence at any necessary hearing post sale of the subject property.

16. And it is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant named herein and all persons whosoever claiming under him, them or it, be forever barred and foreclosed of all right, title and interest and equity of redemption in the said mortgaged premises so sold, or any part thereof.

17. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deed of conveyance made pursuant to said sale shall contain the names of only the first-named Plaintiff and the first-named Defendant, and the Defendant who was the titleholder of the mortgaged property at the time of the filing of the notice of pendency of the within action, and the name of the grantee, and the Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds is authorized to omit from the indices pertaining to such conveyance the names of all parties not contained in said deed.

18. The Master in Equity will retain exclusive jurisdiction to do all necessary acts incident to this foreclosure, including, but not limited to, all matters post-sale which may effect the transfer of the title to the subject real property and all improvements thereon, as well, the issuance of a Writ of Assistance.

19. Upon issuance of a Master in Equity's Report on Sale and Disbursements, the Register of Deeds is directed to release of record the mortgage lien being foreclosed, which mortgage lien is described as follows:

Mortgage from Eleanor S. Dooly and G. Thomas Dooly to Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Wachovia Mortgage Corporation, dated April 30, 2002, covering real property in Spartanburg County, filed on May 1, 2002, and is of record in the Office of the Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds for Spartanburg County in Book 2696, at Page 714.

20. This sale is subject to all title matters of record and any interested party should consider performing an independent title examination of the subject property as no warranty is given. If the judicial sale is set aside for any reason, the successful purchaser at the sale shall be entitled only to a return of the deposit paid, if any. The successful purchaser shall have no further recourse or claim of any kind beyond the return of the deposit paid, if any, against the mortgagors, the Plaintiff, or the Plaintiff's counsel.

21. The following is a description of the premises herein ordered to be sold:

All that certain piece, parcel or lot of land in the County of Spartanburg, State of South Carolina, situate, lying and being on the southwestern side of Zion Hill road, and being shown and designated as Lot No. A on a plat of the property of G. Thomas & Eleanor S. Dooly, dated March 15, 2000, by Deaton Land Surveyors, Inc., recorded in Plat Book 147, Page 289, RMC Office for Spartanburg County. For a more detailed description, reference is hereby made to the above plat.

This being the same property conveyed to Eleanor S. Dooly and G. Thomas Dooly by deed of Heiko Meyer dated December 31, 1986 and recorded on January 13, 1987 in the Office of the Spartanburg County Register of Deeds in Book 52-X at Page 487.

TMS No. 7-14-00-010.01

Property address: 676-680 Zion Hill Road  
Spartanburg, SC 29307

22. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the Plaintiff or the Plaintiff's representative does not appear at the scheduled sale of the above-described property, then the sale of the property will be null, void and of no force and effect. In such event, the sale will be rescheduled for the next available sales day as ordered by this court.

23. Any and all issues and claims raised by the Defendants have been dismissed by that Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Answer to Dismiss and to Dismiss Defendants' Counterclaims, filed on March 31, 2011. Any issues related to Plaintiff's standing or ability to prosecute this action are waived/dismisssed.

---

Gordon G. Cooper  
Master in Equity for Spartanburg County

Spartanburg, South Carolina  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2012